Episteme: an online interdisciplinary, multidisciplinary & multi-cultural journal

Bharat College of Arts and Commerce, Badlapur, MMR, India

Volume 13, Issue 2 **December 2024**

AUDIT FAILURES AND LESSONS FROM CORPORATE SCANDALS:EVIDENCE FROM SATYAM, IL&FS, AND DHFL

By Dr. Neetu Kapoor

Abstract

High-profile Indian corporate scandals over the past two decades—Satyam (2009), Infrastructure Leasing & Financial Services (IL&FS, 2018), and Dewan Housing Finance Corporation Ltd. (DHFL, 2019+)—exposed serious deficiencies in statutory audits, internal controls, governance, and gatekeeper oversight. This paper synthesizes what went wrong, how auditors and other assurance actors failed, the regulatory response (including NFRA's emergence, auditor rotation, and enhanced disclosure regimes), and actionable lessons. A qualitative, case-based methodology is used to draw lessons for future reforms. The research also connects Indian experiences with global audit failures such as Enron (2001) and Wirecard (2020), providing a comparative perspective. The findings underline the need for robust professional skepticism, data-driven audit techniques, and effective enforcement frameworks.

Keywords: Audit failure; fraud; NFRA; auditor rotation; related-party transactions; credit rating agencies; India

Introduction

Financial reporting is the backbone of market confidence. When audits fail, not only are investors misled, but systemic risks emerge that can destabilize entire financial ecosystems. Globally, cases such as Enron in the United States (2001) and Wirecard in Germany (2020) demonstrate how audit failures undermine market trust. India has witnessed its own share of corporate governance scandals—most notably Satyam (2009), IL&FS (2018), and DHFL (2019 onward). These cases reveal not only managerial misconduct but also a worrying absence of audit diligence, professional skepticism, and timely regulatory action. Satyam highlighted the risks of fabricated accounts; IL&FS showed how

complex financial structures could mask evergreening practices; DHFL exposed the dangers of related-party diversions.

The Indian context is particularly important because the corporate sector is closely tied to banking and capital markets. Failures in auditing standards thus directly affect credit availability, foreign investment confidence, and systemic liquidity. The Companies Act 2013, the creation of the National Financial Reporting Authority (NFRA) in 2018, and the introduction of CARO 2020 reporting obligations were significant responses. Yet, questions remain whether these reforms are sufficient to deter future failures or whether stronger accountability measures are required. This study contributes by analyzing specific audit breakdowns in the three scandals, identifying common themes, and proposing reforms that balance audit quality with independence and regulatory enforcement.

Objectives of the Study

- To analyze the nature of audit failures in major Indian corporate scandals (Satyam, IL&FS, DHFL).
- To identify common patterns of governance and assurance breakdowns.
- To examine regulatory responses (NFRA, SEBI, CARO 2020, auditor rotation).
- To compare Indian scandals with global cases like Enron and Wirecard for broader insights.
- To draw actionable lessons for auditors, regulators, boards, and investors.

Review of Literature

Audit failure literature emphasizes auditor independence, professional skepticism, and governance oversight. Coffee (2005) and Healy & Palepu (2003) highlighted how Enron's collapse reflected auditors' overreliance on management. In India, Krishnan (2011) analyzed Satyam, noting failures to verify cash balances independently. Studies of IL&FS (NFRA, 2020) pointed to impairment assessment lapses and weak going-concern evaluations. DHFL analyses (Moneylife, 2019) revealed large-scale fund diversions undetected by auditors.

Globally, scholars such as Humphrey (2018) stress the need for audit reforms integrating forensic methods and big data analytics. In the Indian context, Gupta & Gupta (2019) argue that audit quality

suffers due to fee pressures, client dependence, and insufficient regulatory deterrence. The Companies Act 2013 introduced auditor rotation to address independence threats (Sarkar, 2014). Post-IL&FS, SEBI's circulars (2019) imposed stricter norms for credit rating agencies to prevent delayed recognition of defaults. Collectively, literature suggests that reforms are reactive, triggered by crises, but their long-term impact on audit quality remains underexplored.

Research Methodology

This study employs a qualitative, case-based research design. The methodology involves detailed secondary analysis of three major Indian corporate scandals: Satyam (2009), IL&FS (2018), and DHFL (2019). Case study methodology is chosen because it enables in-depth exploration of contextual factors, fraud mechanisms, and audit lapses that quantitative methods may not capture.

Data sources include NFRA Audit Quality Review reports, SEBI orders, the Companies Act 2013 provisions, media investigations, and academic publications. Analytical methods involve thematic content analysis to extract recurring patterns of audit failure and cross-case synthesis to compare fraud modalities. The study also incorporates comparative insights from international cases (Enron, Wirecard) to enrich understanding. The limitations of the methodology include reliance on secondary data and the fact that some investigative materials remain confidential due to legal proceedings.

Case Analysis

Satyam Computer Services (2009)

In January 2009, Satyam's chairman Ramalinga Raju admitted to inflating revenues and profits by over ₹7,000 crore. Fake invoices and bank statements were used to present a robust picture to shareholders. Auditors PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) failed to detect falsified bank balances and relied excessively on management statements. Regulators later penalized PwC, banning them from auditing listed companies for two years. The scandal triggered reforms, including the mandatory rotation of auditors.

IL&FS Group (2018)

The IL&FS crisis in 2018 shook India's financial system, involving defaults on over ₹90,000 crore debt. The holding company and subsidiaries used complex structures to hide non-performing assets and engaged in evergreening loans. NFRA's AQR reports identified severe lapses in audit procedures, particularly in impairment testing and going-concern analysis. Deloitte and KPMG affiliates faced regulatory scrutiny. The crisis highlighted the failure of both auditors and credit rating agencies.

DHFL (2019 onward)

Dewan Housing Finance Ltd. (DHFL) was accused of creating fictitious retail loan accounts ("Bandra Book") and diverting thousands of crores to promoter-linked entities. Forensic audits by Grant Thornton revealed a ₹20,000 crore diversion. Despite red flags, statutory auditors issued clean reports. SEBI investigations and subsequent insolvency proceedings exposed major weaknesses in related-party transaction scrutiny, data analytics, and IT controls within audit processes.

Findings and Discussion

Across Satyam, IL&FS, and DHFL, recurring patterns of audit failure include: insufficient professional skepticism, weak verification of related-party transactions, inadequate going-concern analysis, and minimal use of forensic or data-analytic procedures. Regulatory responses like NFRA oversight, CARO 2020, and auditor rotation have improved accountability but remain reactive. Compared to global scandals, the Indian cases highlight systemic issues with fee dependence and weak enforcement mechanisms.

The comparative perspective also reveals that while Enron led to Sarbanes-Oxley reforms in the US, and Wirecard triggered discussions on EU audit regulation, India's reforms have been piecemeal. To strengthen audit quality, India needs a proactive culture of audit analytics, stronger whistleblower integration, and network-level accountability for global audit firms. Investors and boards must also demand more than a statutory 'clean opinion,' relying on triangulated evidence.

Table 1: Comparative Synthesis of Audit Failures

Dimension	Satyam (2009)	IL&FS (2018)	DHFL (2019+)	Common Lesson
Fraud Modus	Fabricated cash, inflated profits	Evergreening, misclassification	Diversion to promoters; fictitious loans	**Verify with external evidence**
Audit Gap	Weak bank confirms	Impairment, going-concern lapses	RPT mapping; weak IT testing	**Analytics + skepticism**

Conclusion

The Satyam, IL&FS, and DHFL scandals demonstrate how audit failures can vary in mechanics but share common features: insufficient independence, weak verification, and regulatory lag. While reforms such as NFRA, auditor rotation, and CARO 2020 represent progress, they must be coupled with proactive enforcement, technology adoption, and cultural change within the audit profession. Recommendations include: integrating forensic and data analytics into statutory audits, enhancing auditor liability at the network firm level, and ensuring boards demand deeper assurance beyond compliance. Only then can India restore market confidence and prevent recurrence of such catastrophic failures.

References:

- Coffee, J. C. (2005). Gatekeepers: The professions and corporate governance. Oxford University Press.

- Gupta, L., & Gupta, S. (2019). Audit quality and independence: Lessons from Indian corporate failures. Journal of Accounting Research, 45(2), 101-120.
- Healy, P. M., & Palepu, K. G. (2003). The fall of Enron. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 17(2), 3-26.
- Humphrey, C. (2018). The future of auditing: Big data and forensic integration. Accounting Horizons, 32(4), 63-80.
- Krishnan, R. (2011). Satyam scandal: A wake-up call for corporate governance. Indian Journal of Finance, 5(3), 17-25.
- Moneylife. (2019). DHFL forensic audit reveals a Rs 20,000-crore hole. Moneylife Magazine, October 21.
- National Financial Reporting Authority. (2020). Audit Quality Review Report: IL&FS Financial Services Ltd.
- Sarkar, S. (2014). Auditor rotation and independence: Evidence from India. Journal of Business Ethics, 123(1), 43-57.
- Securities and Exchange Board of India. (2019). Guidelines for Enhanced Disclosures by Credit Rating Agencies.
- Knowledge@Wharton. (2009). Scandal at Satyam: Truth, lies and corporate governance.

Bio:- Dr. Neetu Kapoor is working as the Head of the Department of Accountancy at Bharat College of Arts & Commerce, Badlapur (W)